

Standards-Based Individualized Education Program (IEP)

A Guide for School Divisions

Revised March 2016



The requirements for Individualized Education Program (IEP) development can be found in the *Regulations Governing Special Education Programs in Virginia*. The Virginia Department of Education does not mandate or prescribe a particular IEP form or format. The information contained herein is provided only as a resource that educators may find helpful and should be used in guiding their special education standards-based IEP development process.

Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Steven Staples

Assistant Superintendent for Special Education and Student Services

John Eisenberg

Office of Special Education Instructional Services

Patricia Abrams Director

Office of Special Education Instructional Services

Deborah Johnson Educational Specialist

Office of Special Education Instructional Services

Teresa Lee Educational Specialist

Office of Special Education Program Improvement

Marianne Moore Educational Specialist

© 2016Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Education

This document can be reproduced and distributed for educational purposes. No commercial use of this document is permitted. Contact the Division of Special Education and Student Services prior to adapting or modifying this document for noncommercial purposes. The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, age, political affiliation, veteran status, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities in its programs and activities.

Virginia Department of Education Division of Special Education and Student Services Web site: www.doe.virginia.gov/special_ed.

Preface

This standards-based IEP guide intends to provide technical assistance for educators, parents, and others who participate in the development of Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) for students with disabilities. The IEP ensures that a student with a disability receives a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the least restrictive environment (LRE). This standards-based IEP guidance document will assist the IEP team in developing a meaningful individualized program that facilitates a student with a disability access to curriculum and instruction based on the Virginia Standards of Learning and grade appropriate content while continuing to address the student's individual educational needs.

The content of this guide is based on regulatory requirement for an IEP as outlined in the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA 2004) and *Regulations Governing Special Education Programs in Virginia*. Both state and federal law identify the required components of the IEP. Additional guidance on standards-based IEP development was provided by Project Forum, a group affiliated with the National Association of State Directors of Special Education, and the U.S. Department of Education and a review of various guidance documents developed by other states and in consultation with Virginia stakeholders.

This guide provides descriptions and examples of required IEP components from the statement of present level of academic achievement and functional performance (PLOP) through the summary of educational services, as well as provides assistance to facilitate the integrating of the content standards into the IEP development process. It does not address the eligibility process, procedural safeguards or implementation of the IEP. Also, it utilizes the sample forms developed by the Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) that were designed to meet regulatory requirements and located on the Web site at www.doe.virginia.gov. However, this does not imply that the sample forms must be used by the divisions.

This technical assistance guidance document was designed with supporting materials, including a Web-based training module and standards-based worksheet. These materials are available on the VDOE Web site, www.doe.virginia.gov, and should be used in conjunction with the Regulations Governing Special Education Programs in Virginia and the local division policies and procedures. The Virginia Department of Education recognizes that it is impossible to address all issues or questions that may arise in the IEP development process in this document. Additional information is available through the VDOE Office of Special Education Instructional Services at (804) 225-2932, the toll free voice number (800) 422-2083, or text users dial 711 (Relay).

Acknowledgments

The Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) wishes to acknowledge all those who provided assistance in the initial development and review of *The Standards-based IEP Guidance Document and Supporting Materials*. This group Included Marla Crawford, VDOE doctoral intern (Capella University), and VDOE Training and Technical Assistance Center staff listed. In addition, regional representatives for division special education directors reviewed the document and provided feedback that assisted the department in developing a useful resource for teachers within their divisions.

Table of Contents

Preface	
Acknowledgments	,

Introduction	6
Federal and State Law Overview	
Individualized Education Program	7
IEP team	7-8
Defining Standards-based IEP	9-12
Process for Creating Standards-based IEPs:	
Developing Student Present Level of Performance	13-16
A. Consider the Grade-level Standards for the Grade	
B. Examine Classroom and Student Data	
C. Writing the Present Level of Performance	
Developing Standards-based Measurable Annual Goals	17-21
A. Writing Annual Goal Components	
B. Develop measurable postsecondary goals and transition needs	
Assessing and Reporting Student Progress	22-23
A. Monitoring Student Progress	
B. Reporting Student Progress	
Identifying Special Education and Related Services	24-28
A. Determining Related Services	
B. Identifying Supplementary Aids and Services	
Determining the Most Appropriate Assessment Option	29-31
A. Selecting the Most Appropriate Assessment Option	
B. Adding the Appropriate Assessment Accommodations	
Determining Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)	32-33
Obtaining Parental Consent	34
Addressing Additional Components for Secondary IEP	35-37
Resources and References	38-40
Appendices	41-66

Introduction

The standards-based reform movement has focused on improving the quality of instruction in the schools by establishing high standards of achievement and accountability for general education content for all students. This includes students with disabilities. In order to ensure that students with disabilities access the general curriculum and achieve at higher levels, an IEP development process called a "standards-based IEP" was recommended. The change to using standards-based IEPs was supported in the 2004 reauthorization of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) that emphasizes access to the general education curriculum for students with disabilities. Ensuring that all children, including children with disabilities, are held to rigorous academic standards and high expectations is a shared responsibility for all.

In November 2015, a Dear Colleague letter was issued by the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) to clarify the requirement for standards-based IEPs. According to OSEP, an individualized education program (IEP) for an eligible child with a disability under the IDEA must be aligned with the State's academic content standards for the grade in which the child is enrolled. The OSEP states that "the cornerstone of the IDEA is the entitlement of each eligible child with a disability to a free appropriate public education (FAPE) that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet the child's unique needs and that prepare the child for further education, employment, and independent living." 20 U.S.C. §1400(d)(1)(A). Under the IDEA, the primary vehicle for providing FAPE is through an appropriately developed IEP that is based on the individual needs of the child. "An IEP must take into account a child's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance, and the impact of that child's disability on his or her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum. The IEP goals must be aligned with grade-level content standards for all children with disabilities."

In 2011, the Virginia Department of Education introduced a standards-based IEP process as best practice for IEP development, and a guidance document was developed. As a result of recent U.S. OSEP guidance, the 2011 standards-based IEP guidance document has been revised. This guidance document has been updated to reflect current OSEP guidance, changes in the state assessment program, including credit accommodations, and diploma options. This document is designed to be a resource and should be used in conjunction with the *Regulations Governing Special Education Programs in Virginia* and local IEP development guidelines.


Individualized Education Program Overview:

What is an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?

According to the *Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities in Virginia*, an IEP is a written statement for a child with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a team meeting that specifies the individual educational needs of the child and what special education and related services are necessary to meet the child's educational needs. (8 VAC 20-81-10)

Who is responsible for the development of the IEP?

The federal and state regulations designate required members for developing a child's IEP.



- At least one regular education teacher, if the student is (or might be) participating in the general education environment.
- At least one special education teacher or appropriate provider.
- A representative of the local educational agency who is knowledgeable about specially designed instruction for students with disabilities, the general curriculum, and the availability of local educational agency resources.
- The parents.
- The student, as appropriate.
- **Someone who can interpret** the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be another team member.
- Other people may be invited at the discretion of the parent(s) or the school personnel based on their knowledge and expertise regarding the child.

When secondary transition services are considered, then the school division must invite (with consent of the parent or adult age student) a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services. (Federal regulations at 34CFR 300.321; corresponding Virginia Regulations at 8 VAC 20-81-110 C).

The parent, guardian, or representative for the student should be encouraged to offer their input. Though professionals can describe assessment results and observations, it is the parent(s), guardian, or representative for the student, and student (when appropriate) who

know all the subtle factors that affect the student's ability to learn, progress, and succeed. Note that an IEP team member may fill more than one of the team positions if properly qualified and designated. For additional information, "A Guide to the Individualized Education Program" can be also found at http://www.ed.gov/parents/needs/speced/iepguide.

What does legislation say about writing an IEP?

Federal and state special education regulations describe how the IEP should be developed. The IEP team shall consider:

- child's strengths; parents' concerns for enhancing their child's education;
- results of the initial evaluation or most recent evaluation of the child; and
- academic, developmental, and functional needs of the child. (20 USC §1414(d) (3) (A))

The IEP should:

- meet the child's academic, development, and functional needs that result from the disability:
- enable the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum; and
- meet each of the child's other educational needs that result from the child's disability.
 (20 USC §1414(d))

There are federal and state requirements for the IEP team and content, which are provided in the <u>Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities in Virginia</u> (PDF). Refer to 8VAC20-81-110.

What additional "special factors" should the IEP team consider when developing an IEP for certain students?

According to the regulation, the IEP team also shall: Refer to 8VAC20-81-110.

- In the case of a child whose behavior impedes the child's learning or that of others, consider the use of positive behavioral interventions, strategies, and supports to address the behavior;
- □ In the case of a child with limited English proficiency, consider the language needs of the child as those needs relate to the child's IEP;
- In the case of a child who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in Braille and the use of Braille unless the IEP team determines after an evaluation of the child's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media, including an evaluation of the child's future needs for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille, that instruction in Braille or the use of Braille is not appropriate for the child;
- Consider the communication needs of the child;
- Consider the child's needs for benchmarks or short-term objectives;
- In the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the child's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the child's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the child's language and communication mode; and
- Consider whether the child requires assistive technology devices and services.

Defining a Standards-based IEP

What is a standards-based IEP?¹

A standards-based IEP describes a process in which the IEP team has incorporated state content standards in its development. The IEP is directly linked to and framed by Virginia's course content Standards of Learning (SOL) for the grade in which the student is enrolled or will be enrolled. The state standards can be found at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/sol/standards docs/index.shtml.

Specific accommodations and modifications addressing student's needs to access the general education instructional program are included in the standards-based IEP for student's present grade-level and course content requirements.

Are all special education students required to have a standards-based IEP?

Yes, an individualized education program (IEP) for an eligible child with a disability under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) must be aligned with the State's academic content standards for the grade in which the child is enrolled.² This helps to ensure that children with disabilities are held to high expectations and have meaningful access to a State's academic content standard.

In addition, a standard-based IEP is one of the eligibility requirements for VDOE's credit accommodations for students with disabilities working toward a standard diploma. More information may be found under **Graduation Requirements-Credit Accommodations** at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/instruction/graduation/credit accommodations.shtml.

For the student whose IEP team determines that he or she should take an alternate assessment based on Virginia's alternate academic achievement standards, the team must develop annual goals and short-term objectives based on the aligned standards of learning (ASOL). http://www.ncscpartners.org/Media/Default/PDFs/Resources/NCSCBrief5.pdf

http://www.ed.gov/policy/speced/guid/nclb/twopercent.doc

¹ Questions and answers were adapted from the USDE Non-regulatory Guidance Document on Modified Academic Achievement Standards found at

² Guidance from OSEP: Dear Colleague Letter, November 2015.

What is the difference between the traditional IEP and a standards-based IEP?

Traditionally, IEPs have focused on a student acquiring basic academic, access and/or functional skills and have had little relationship to a specific academic area or grade-level expectations. In contrast, the process used to develop a standards-based IEP is directly tied to the state's content standards. Both the student's present level of performance and some of the annual IEP goals are aligned with and based on the state's grade-level standards which creates a program that is aimed at getting the student to a proficient level on the state standards.

Historically, for some, the IEP was viewed as the curriculum. However, "the IEP is not the curriculum, but is designed to provide access to the general curriculum as reflected in state standards. There will not be a one-on-one correspondence between an IEP goal and a state standard like there is in a lesson plan. Each goal on an IEP is written to help the student achieve multiple standards. The IEP may contain additional life, social, communication, or foundational skills goals that do not necessarily link to state standards for the assigned grade level." (NCSC Brief, Oct. 2015) This is true for all students with disabilities, including those with significant cognitive disabilities whose instruction may be based on alternate academic achievement standards.

What are the components of a standards-based IEP?

The components are the same as the traditional IEP. Virginia requires that all IEPs contain a present level of academic achievement and functional performance, commonly referred to as the present level of performance (PLOP), goals statement, accommodations and/or modifications and service statements. The IEP also includes the student's level of nonparticipation with peers in the general education setting, how the student will participate in state assessments, and methods of assessing and reporting student progress. In addition, for students beginning with the first IEP to be in effect when the student is age 14, the IEP must address secondary transition. It should be noted that in a standards-based IEP, the PLOP and some or all of the annual goals are connected to the specific grade-level SOL or ASOL. This creates a program that is aimed at getting the student to a proficient level on state standards in addition to addressing functional and/or behavioral needs of the student, as needed.

Do the regulations require short-term objectives in the standards-based IEP?

No. Federal regulations require only the IEPs of students with significant cognitive disabilities who participate in assessments based on alternate academic achievement standards, such as the Virginia Alternate Assessment Program (VAAP), to include a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives. Virginia regulations further require that the IEP team documents its consideration of the inclusion of benchmarks or short-term objectives for all children's IEPs.

What are the benefits of a standards-based IEP?

MacQuarie (2009) describes the following positive benefits of a standards-based IEP:

- Links the IEP to the general education curriculum based on the state SOL.
- Provides positive directions and goals for intervention.

- Utilizes standards to identify specific content critical to a student's successful progress in the general education curriculum.
- Promotes a single educational system that is inclusive through common language and curriculum for special and general education students.
- Ensures greater consistency across schools and divisions.
- Encourages higher expectations for students with disabilities.

A properly implemented standards-based IEP will improve the student's opportunity to receive specifically designed instruction linked to the general educational curriculum for the enrolled grade and appropriate accommodations to support achievement of grade-level expectations. Research has demonstrated that children with disabilities who struggle in reading and mathematics can successfully learn grade-level content and make significant academic progress when appropriate instruction, services, and supports are provided.³

Does a standards-based IEP imply that the student is on grade-level in that content area? No, the student may not be on grade-level in that content area. However, the student is working toward meeting grade-level expectation and receiving grade-level content instruction. The IEP should address what needs to happen in order for the student to meet the standards. Once the IEP team has analyzed the student's current performance and determined what the student needs to learn, the specialized instruction and related services and supports should be addressed.

How do IEP teams develop a grade-level standards-based IEP when a student is not on grade-level?

The National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) has produced a document that illustrates a recommended seven-step process, with accompanying guiding questions, to assist special education teachers and other professionals in developing a standards-based IEP. The Standards-based Individualized Education Program Examples are available on the following NASDSE Web pages: *inForum: Standards-Based Individualized Education Program Examples* available at:

<u>www.nasdse.org/portals/0/standards-basediepexamples.pdf</u>. This process has been modified and utilized in this guidance document. Teacher support materials are also available on the VDOE Web site. These materials include:

1) Online Training Modules

The Virginia Department of Education, along with the collaboration of the Training and Technical Assistance Centers has developed a free online training titled, "Standards-based IEPs Online Training." This free training is available through the Web site at

³ Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged; Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities, Final Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 50773, 50776 (Aug. 21, 2015).

<u>www.doe.virginia.gov</u>. The training focuses on the development of a standards-based IEP and can be used as an individual or group training tool.

2) Standards-based Skills Inventory Worksheets

These worksheets have been developed based on the grade-level curriculum framework essential skills and knowledge to assist the teacher in developing goals and determining the component of the standard in which the student will need specialized instruction to access and address the grade level content. These worksheets are available on the Web site at www.doe.virginia.gov. To facilitate the development of a standards-based IEP using the aligned standards, resources can be found at Training and Technical Assistance Centers (TTAC) Online at http://ttaconline.org/vaap.

Developing of a Standards-based IEP

I. The Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance

The Present Level provides a summary of baseline information that indicates the student's academic achievement, identifies current functional performance, and provides an explanation of how the disability affects the student's involvement/progress in participating in the general curriculum. A standards-based IEP should indicate how the student is performing in relationship to the Standards of Learning (SOL) at the enrolled grade-level. Standards-based IEPs identify specific skills and knowledge that will allow the student to work towards current grade-level SOL or the next grade-level of standards. When developing the present level, IEP teams should:

A. Consider the Grade-level Standards

Consider the grade-level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled.

Prior to developing IEPs, all IEP team members, including parents, need to be familiar with the general education curriculum, including the state's academic content standards and state assessments. **Standards of Learning** can be viewed on the Virginia Department of Education Web site: www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/sol/standards docs/index.

Academic content standards form the basis of the general education curriculum and cover what students are expected to know and be able to do. If not developed near the end of the school year, annual IEPs may require goals that cross two grade-levels (e.g., Mathematics 8 and Algebra I). In order to make informed decisions about each student's strengths and needs, the IEP team should consider how the student is performing in relation to the state's grade-level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled.

Ask:

- What is the intent of the content standard?
- What is the content standard saying that the student must know and be able to do?

STANDARD 8.6	STRAND: MEASUREMENT	GRADE LEVEL	
The student will verify by measuring and describe the relationships among vertical angles, supplementary angles, and complementary angles and will measure and draw angles of less than 360°.			
UNDERSTANDING THE STANDARD (Teacher Notes)	ESSENTIAL UNDERSTANDINGS	ESSENTIAL KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	
 Vertical angles are the opposite angles formed by two intersecting lines. Vertical angles are congruent. Complementary angles are any two angles such 	All students should Understand the meaning of the term <i>angle</i> . Understand how to use angle-measuring	The student will use problem solving, mathematical communication, mathematical reasoning, connections, and representations to • Measure angles of less than 360° to the nearest degree,	
that the sum of their measures is 90°. • Supplementary angles are any two angles such that the sum of their measures is 180°.	Understand that pairs of angles are named by their defining attributes.	using appropriate tools. Identify and describe the relationships among the angles formed by two intersecting lines.	
		Identify and describe pairs of angles that are vertical. Identify and describe pairs of angles that are supplementary.	
		Identify and describe pairs of angles that are complementary.	

B. Examine Classroom and Student Data

Analyze the classroom and student data to determine where the student is functioning in relation to the grade-level standards.

The Virginia Standards of Learning (SOL) define what students should know and be able to do in each subject area. It is the IEP team's responsibility to carefully examine what is known about the student's classroom performance on the grade-level standards-based evidence collected over time during the recent and past years. The examination includes an analysis of:

- informal classroom assessments, statewide assessments, authentic performance tasks, criterion-based evaluations, curriculum-based assessments, work samples, and age appropriate transition assessments;
- the essential skills in the grade-level Curriculum Framework that are primarily being affected by the student's disability;
- whether the data are indicative of student performance;
- what the data indicates about student learning and how data can be utilized to determine future need;
- student and parent input, and;
- what previous IEPs and progress monitoring data suggest about the student's performance.

For students with significant cognitive disabilities, the best assessment of progress is the ongoing progress monitoring used in the context of instruction. Observational data and summary notes from this ongoing assessment will provide the specific details needed.

Ask:

- □ What does the data tell the IEP team about the student's performance regarding the knowledge and skills the student has demonstrated in relation to the grade-level content standards?
- □ Are there assessment data (i.e., state, division and/or classroom) that can provide useful information for making decisions about the student's strengths and needs?
- □ Where are the gaps in knowledge and skills?
- What did we learn about the way the student responded to accommodations?
- □ Were the previous interventions successful?
- □ Are there skills that the student missed learning over time that are necessary to support the grade-level standard? Which are most likely to support progress?
- Are there authentic performance tasks that provide evidence of student learning?
- Are there data to include student reflection and self-assessment?
- □ Are there multiple measures being used? By whom?

Examples of data sources: This list is not all inclusive.

- SOL-Individual progress summary statement and response by question
- Algebra Readiness/math assessment
- Reading and math assessment
- Benchmark assessment
- Student work samples
- Age appropriate transition assessment
- Pre-Post content assessment
- □ Attendance and discipline data

- Achievement tests (norm or criterion referenced)
- □ Parent/Teacher Observation
- Anecdotal notes
- Classroom assessment
- Review of existing data
- Diagnostic reports
- Running records
- Universal screening/progress monitoring

C. Writing the present level of performance

Consider the factors related to the student's disability and how they affect how the student learns and demonstrates what he or she knows.

The present level of academic achievement and functional performance, commonly known as the PLOP, describes the individual strengths and needs of the student in relation to accessing the general curriculum. The PLOP includes data from evaluations, classroom and state assessments, age appropriate transition assessments (as appropriate), observations, information from students and parents, and other resources. It should be utilized to identify the skills and knowledge that a student needs to achieve in order to meet the academic grade-level content standards. Identified needs will be utilized to develop the annual IEP goals.

Ask:

- □ What are the grade-level content standards for the grade that the student is enrolled?
- □ Where is the student performing in relation to the grade-level standards?
- □ What are the individual strengths of the student in accessing and mastering the general curriculum? Include sources of information.
- □ What are the individual areas of need of the student in accessing and mastering the general curriculum? Include sources of information.
- What skills/behaviors (academic/functional) is the student able/unable to perform?
- □ What other needs, such as functional, organizational, and social skills impact the student involvement and progress in the general curriculum?
- What strategies, accommodations and/or interventions have been successful in helping the student make progress in the general curriculum?
- □ If appropriate, should credit accommodation be considered and if so, was a justification or description of the need for credit accommodations provided?
- How does the identified disability affect involvement and progress in the general curriculum?
- What are the parental concerns?

- □ What are the student's interests, preferences, and goals? Include postsecondary aspirations, based on age appropriate transition assessments. (Refer to VDOE's assessment transition packet at http://www.vcu.edu/ttac/transition/assessment.shtml.)
- □ Is the student progressing at a rate to achieve grade-level proficiency within the year?

Quick Check: Review for the following content:

- Information written is educationally valuable and written in a user-friendly manner.
- Baseline data is included in relationship to expectation within the general education setting (norms are included) and the student's ability to achieve grade-level proficiency.
- Any teacher would know where to begin instruction based on provided information.

II. Standards-based Annual Goals

The IEP annual goals set targets of expected performance for individual students to accomplish in one school year. The annual goals need not necessarily result in the child's reaching grade-level within the year covered by the IEP, but the goals should be sufficiently ambitious to help close the gap.⁴

The goals in a student's IEP should relate to the student's need for specially designed instruction to address the student's disability needs and those needs that interfere with the student's ability to participate and progress in the general curriculum. The needs identified in the PLOP provide the basis for which annual goals are written.

Standards-based IEP goals should not be a restatement of the SOL or ASOL. The goals should be a statement that documents the necessary learning that will lead to the student meeting the standards and should be based on the essential skills and knowledge required to access the content standards. For the ASOL, the goals must be clearly related to grade-level content, although they may be restricted in scope or complexity or take the form of introductory or prerequisite skills. Goals should be stated so that student progress can be measured. Acquisition of these skills requires specialized, uniquely designed, instruction over time and periodic assessment of progress following initial collection of baseline data.

For students with significant cognitive disabilities who participate in assessments based on alternate academic achievement standards, such as the Virginia Alternate Assessment Program (VAAP), Federal regulations require a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives. These short term objectives show the progress expected at each reporting period as the student moves toward mastery of the annual goal.

⁴ Guidance from OSEP: Dear Colleague Letter, November 16, 2015

Develop measurable annual goals based on grade-level academic content standards.

Ask:

- □ What are the student's needs as identified in the present level of performance?
- □ What skills does the student require to master the content of the curriculum?
- □ What can the student reasonably be expected to accomplish in one school year?

Goals should be prioritized, clearly indicating the skills and knowledge most important to long-term academic success. Standards-based worksheets were developed to assist with identifying and prioritizing potential skills that will need specially designed instruction and/or related services. A sample worksheet follows, including instructions for its use. The worksheet is not required; however, it can be a vital tool in narrowing the focus of the specially designed instruction. The worksheets are available on the VDOE Web site at www.doe.virginia.gov.

Using the Standards-Based Skills Worksheet

The purpose of this Skills Inventory Worksheet is to support data analysis and goal writing for standards-based IEPs Refer to the appropriate SOL Test Blueprint when prioritizing goals and instruction. They are located at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/sol/standards. Worksheets are based on the Virginia SOL Curriculum Frameworks which can be found at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/sol/standards.

For additional information on the process for creating standards-based IEPs, please refer to *Standards-based Individualized Education Program (IEP) A Guide for School Divisions*, located at www.doe.virginia.gov.

DIRECTIONS

- **Step 1** Print the appropriate PDF file **Skills Worksheet** that will match the projected (or current if mid-year) grade level for the student located at www.doe.virginia.gov.
- **Step 2** Gather and analyze data to identify how the student has performed in each of the strands included in the curriculum.
- Step 3 Based on prior performance, predict what level of instruction *will be* necessary for the student to successfully master upcoming curriculum in each of the strands. Check the areas that specially designed instruction and/or supports may be critical to meeting the standard.
- After completing the Worksheet, based on data and your knowledge of the student as discussed in the present level of performance (PLOP), determine if a goal(s) is/are needed to address the specific skill(s).
- **Step 5** Additional space is provided under each strand for comments or notes on data analysis.

Standards-based Skills Worksheet for Grade/Subject

	3	
Student:	Date:	
Completed by (name)	Position	
School Division:		
1. Review SOL strand for Number and Number Sense (SOL 5.1, 5.2a-b, & 5.3a-b) 2. Review data on student performance and indicate all data sources analyzed to assess performance in this strand: □ Present Level of Performance (PLOP) □ Prior SOL data □ Standardized test data □ Classroom assessments □ Teacher observations		
3. Check the areas that will require specially designed instruction critical to meeting the standard. The student will		
4. Is/Are standards-based goal(s) needed? □ YES Address areas of need in PLOP	□ NO Check one or more justifications: □ Accommodations Available (specify): □ Area of Strength in PLOP □ New Content □ Other (Specify):	
5. Notes Supporting Data Analysis		


A. Writing Annual Goal Components

When writing annual goals, the components should include who, behavior, criterion,

conditions, and time frame.

<u>Time frame</u> - specifies the number of weeks or a certain date for completion.

<u>Conditions</u> - specify the manner in which progress toward the goal occurs. The conditions describe the specific resources that must be present for a child to reach the goal. The condition of the goal should relate to the behavior being measured. For example, a goal relating to reading comprehension may require the use of a graphic organizer. The graphic organizer is the condition.



<u>Behavior</u> - clearly identifies the performance that is being monitored. It represents an action that can be directly observed and measured.

<u>Criterion</u> - identifies how much, how often, or to what standard the behavior must occur in order to demonstrate that the goal has been achieved. The goal criterion specifies the amount of growth that is expected.

Ask:

- □ Does the goal have a specific time frame?
- □ Are the conditions for meeting the goal addressed?
- □ How will the outcome of the goal be measured?
- Are the goals written in terms that parents and educators can understand?
- Do the goals support participation and progress in the general education curriculum and for preschool students, participation in age-appropriate activities?
- □ Do the annual goals support postsecondary goals?

Quick Check

- Goals are related to information in the PLOP.
- Goals are written to address academic and/or functional disability related needs, such as behaviors.
- Goals are measurable and include a projected level of attainment.
- Goals are instructionally relevant and support participation and progress in the general curriculum.

a) Writing Functional Goal:

According to the regulations, another area to consider when developing goals might be what the child needs to learn or be able to do functionally. These types of goals are typically nonacademic and are not part of the "academic" curriculum/standards. They would not be considered standards-based. However, if a child has functional needs that impact participation in the educational environment, such as learning to eat independently, use public transportation, or communicate with an augmentative communication device or social or emotional needs, such as impulse control, anger management, or appropriate behavioral alternatives, then these needs should be described in the PLOP and goals or accommodations should be included in the IEP.

B. Develop measurable postsecondary goals and transition needs

For students beginning with the first IEP to be in effect when the student is age 14 (and at a younger age, if determined appropriate) and updated at least annually, the IEP must include measurable postsecondary goals based on the student's strengths, preferences and interests, as they relate to transition from school to post-school activities, in the areas of postsecondary employment, postsecondary education, postsecondary training, and as appropriate independent living.

The measurable postsecondary goals are intended to acknowledge the student's strengths, needs, preferences and interests. They must be measurable, happen after completing secondary school, and be based on age appropriate transition assessments. Annual goals, academic and functional, facilitate the student's movement from school to post-school activities. The skills necessary for reaching postsecondary goals should be prioritized, based on the student's individual needs. The IEP team must determine what immediate and long-term steps are necessary to reach the goal.

Ask:

- □ What do you want to do after you finish high school?
- □ If you go to college, what do you want to study?
- □ What kind of work do you want to do?
- □ What do you want to learn more about?
- □ Where do you plan on living?
- How will you support yourself, get around, and participate in recreational or leisure activities in your community?

There are many age appropriate transition assessments and experiences that will help the student and the IEP team answer these questions. Additionally, the student might receive benefit from working with an adult service agency, such as the Department for Aging and Rehabilitative Services (DARS). The IEP Team must consider a referral to an adult service agency during the IEP in which the student turns 16 years old. It is necessary to obtain the written consent of the parent or age of majority student, if appropriate, prior to making a referral.

Quick Check:

- Goals reflect the student's strengths, postsecondary preferences and interests.
- Postsecondary goals are measureable and based on age appropriate transition assessments related to employment, education, training, and when appropriate, independent living.
- A measureable goal or goals have been developed that address the area of postsecondary employment.
- A measureable goal or goals have been developed that address the area of postsecondary education.
- A measureable goal or goals have been developed that address the area of postsecondary training.
- If appropriate, a measureable goal or goals have been developed that address the area of postsecondary independent living.

III. Assessing and Reporting Student Progress

The progress monitoring provision also requires that the IEP specify how the student and parents will be regularly informed of the child's progress toward the goals (i.e., what the student can now do that he/she was not doing previously as it relates to the annual goal) and the extent to which progress is considered sufficient. Progress monitoring helps IEP teams address any lack of expected progress towards the annual goals and make decisions concerning the effectiveness of curriculum delivery. Refer to 34 CFR 300.320 (a)(3) Progress must be reported at least as often as parents of nondisabled students are informed of their child's progress. Check your local school division's progress reporting schedule to determine the required dates. Assess and report the student's progress throughout the year.

Ask:

- □ How does the student demonstrate what he/she knows on classroom, benchmark, and state assessments?
- □ Is a variety of assessments used to measure progress?
- □ How will progress be reported to parents?
- □ Did the student make the progress expected by the IEP team?
- How does the student's performance compare with the performance of general education students?
- □ *Is the student more independent in the goal area?*
- □ Will work on the goal be continued or will the student be dismissed from this goal area?

A. Monitoring Student Progress

At the time an IEP is developed, it must specify and document how the child's progress toward each annual goal will be measured, including;

- what will be monitored,
- who will monitor it,
- when it will be monitored,
- · where the monitoring will be conducted, and
- how the data will be reported.

Evaluation procedure and tools selected to collect data and measure student progress should be identified in the IEP. Data collection tools should represent different types of measurement in order to provide a clear picture of student progress.

Example of progress monitoring tools and schedule:

How will progress toward this annual goal be measured? (check all that apply)				
Classroom Participation	X_ Observation	Criterion-referenced test:		
X Worksheet	Special Projects	Norm-referenced test:		
Classwork	Tests and Quizzes	X Other: Student-made graph or chart		
Homework	Written Reports			

In addition, an evaluation schedule should be included in the IEP. Evaluation schedule states the date or intervals or frequency of the progress monitoring and data compilation, such as weekly, daily, etc.

B. Reporting Student Progress

Progress on IEP goals and short-term objectives (if required) is reported to parents as often as nondisabled students receive academic progress reports.

Timeline: Mid-Quarter (Interim Reports), Quarterly

• Format: Compilation Forms, Graphs, Narratives

Quick Check:

- The frequency and manner of reporting to parents is determined in consideration of a student's unique needs.
- Progress is reported to parents in a manner that is understood by them (e.g., jargon-free) and is objective, not subjective.
- Specific data is included in measurable terms regarding the extent to which the student is progressing towards meeting annual goals.
- The information included in reports to parents is sufficient to identify a student's lack of progress early enough that the IEP team could, if necessary, reconvene to review and, if appropriate, revise the student's IEP to ensure the student is provided the appropriate supports to reach the annual goals.

IV. Identifying Special Education and Related Services

The IEP team needs to develop a statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services, based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided for the child, or on behalf of the child, and a statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided to enable the child: (34 CFR 300.320(a) (4)).

- a. To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals;
- b. To be involved and progress in the general curriculum and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities; and
- c. To be educated and participate with other children with disabilities and children without disabilities in the activities described in this section.

Ask:

- □ What related services or accommodations are needed to enable the student to access the knowledge in the general education curriculum?
- □ What accommodations have been used by the student and were they effective?
- Has the complexity of the materials been changed in such a way that the content has been modified?

A. Determining related services

According to the regulations, related services include transportation and such developmental, corrective, and other supportive services as are required to assist a child with a disability to benefit from special education. This may include, but not limited to, the following:

speech-language therapy	audiology services	interpreting services
psychological services	physical therapy	recreation, including
early identification and	occupational therapy	therapeutic recreation
assessment of disabilities	counseling services,	orientation and mobility
in children	including rehabilitation	services
medical services for	counseling	social work services in
diagnostic or evaluation	school health services	schools
purposes	school/nurse services	other
Job Coaching	parent counseling and	
	training	

According to the National Dissemination Center for Children with Disabilities, there are two basic kinds of related services interventions offered by schools to meet the range of student needs. These are:

Direct Services: *Direct services* usually refer to hands-on, face-to-face interactions between the related services professional and the student. These interactions can take place in a variety of

settings, such as the classroom, gym, health office, resource room, counseling office, or playground. Typically, the related service professional analyzes student responses and uses specific techniques to develop or improve particular skills.

The professional should also:

- monitor the student's performance within the educational setting so that adjustments can be made to improve student performance, as needed, and
- consult with teachers and parents on an ongoing basis, so that relevant strategies can be carried out through indirect means (see below) at other times.

Indirect Services: *Indirect services* may involve teaching, consulting with, and/or directly supervising other personnel (including paraprofessionals and parents). For example, a school psychologist might train teachers and other educators how to implement a program included in a student's IEP to decrease the child's problem behaviors. Similarly, a physical therapist may serve as a consultant to a teacher and provide expertise to solve problems regarding a student's mobility through school. Good practice is generally thought to include the following aspects:

- The intervention procedure is designed by the related service professional (with IEP team input) for an individual student.
- The related service professional has regular opportunities to interact with the student.
- The related service professional provides ongoing training, monitoring, supervision, procedural evaluation, and support to staff members and parents.

The type of service provided depends upon the individual needs of the student and his or her educational goals. Decisions about direct or indirect service delivery, therefore, are made on an individual, case-by-case basis.

Example:

Service and provider	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Indirect</u>
Psychological counseling	One on one counseling	Training the teacher how to implement a behavior management program
Physical therapy	Small group therapy	Providing lesson/or adapting lessons to be used in physical education

Speech therapy	Co-teaching English class	Consulting with the classroom
		teacher on activities to
		improve student's written
		language

B. Identifying the Supplementary Aids and Services

Supplementary aids and services are aids, services, and other supports that are provided in regular education classes, other education-related settings, and in extracurricular and nonacademic settings, to enable children with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled children to the maximum extent appropriate. These aids and services are often identified as accommodations and modifications. According to the Accommodation Manual, developed by The Council of Chief State School Officers, the difference between accommodations and modifications is that accommodations do not reduce learning expectations. They provide access. However, modifications refer to practices that change, lower or reduce learning expectations. Modifications can increase the gap between the achievement of students with disabilities and expectations for proficiency at a particular grade-level.

The Accommodation Manual identifies four types of accommodations: presentation, response, setting, timing and scheduling.

Examples of Classroom Accommodations:

Presentation:	Response:
Provide on audio tape Provide in large print/copies of notes Reduce number of items per page or line Provide a designated reader Present instructions orally/picture cues	Allow for verbal responses/communication systems Allow for answers to be dictated to a scribe Allow the use of a tape recorder to capture responses Permit responses to be given via computer Permit answers to be recorded directly into test booklet
Test Scheduling:	Setting:
Administer a test in several timed sessions or over several days Allow subtests to be taken in a different order Administer a test at a specific time of day	Provide preferential seating/study carrel Provide special lighting or acoustics Provide a space with minimal distractions Administer a test in a small group setting Administer a test in private room or alternative test site

The student should be familiar with the accommodation and its use should not be limited to state assessment only. An accommodation should not be selected solely to enhance performance beyond providing equal access. In addition, it is also important to know that some accommodations used during instruction or classroom assessment may not be allowable on statewide assessments.

If there are questions about the appropriateness of a specific accommodation, the school division director of testing or the Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) Assessment Office should be contacted. Testing Accommodations are addressed in more detail in the determining the appropriate assessment option section of this document. The document, Students with Disabilities: Guidelines for Special Test Accommodations (PDF) at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/participation/index.shtml provides information on a four-step process for selecting and using test accommodations and a description of test accommodations currently permitted on state assessments.

Examples of Transition Accommodations: Supports that facilitate the transition to adult life include those that:

- Increase student's independence;
 Example: Use of voice recognition computer software vs. scribe to compose a paragraph
- Are designed for student's continued use after high school;
 Example: Use of augmentative communication device vs. personal assistant to request appropriate accommodations
- Support the achievement of student's postsecondary goals; Example: Use of a cell phone alarm vs. father's reminders to take medications so that student can live in his own apartment

Quick Check:

- The IEP team has described the student specific services for: special education (specially designed instruction) related services, supplementary aids and services or program modifications.
- For each service the IEP team described: Frequency and amount, Location (e.g., general education classroom, special education classroom, lunchroom), and duration.
- The services are based on peer-reviewed research to the extent possible.

DO'S AND DO NOT'S

WHEN SELECTING ACCOMMODATIONS

Do make accommodation decisions based on individualized needs.	Do Not make accommodations decisions based on whatever is easiest to do (e.g., preferential seating).
Do select accommodations that reduce the effect of the disability to access instruction and demonstrate learning.	Do Not select accommodations unrelated to documented student learning needs or are intended to give students an unfair advantage.
Do be certain to document instructional and assessment accommodation(s) on the IEP.	Do Not use an accommodation that has not been documented on the IEP (unless you are evaluating its use).
Do be familiar with the types of accommodations that can be used as both instructional and assessment accommodations.	Do Not assume that all instructional accommodations are appropriate for use on assessments.
Do be specific about the "Where, When, Who, and How" of providing accommodations.	Do Not simply indicate an accommodation will be provided "as appropriate" or "as necessary."
Do refer to state accommodations policies and understand implications of selections.	Do Not check every accommodation possible on a worksheet simply to be "safe."
Do evaluate accommodations used by the student.	Do Not assume the same accommodations remain appropriate year after year.
Do get input about accommodations from teachers, parents, and students, and use it to make decisions at IEP team meeting.	Do Not make decisions about instructional and assessment accommodations alone.
Do provide accommodations for assessments routinely used for classroom instruction.	Do Not provide an assessment accommodation for the first time on the day of a test.
Do select accommodations based on specific individual needs in each content area.	Do Not assume certain accommodations, such as extra time, are appropriate for every student in every content area.

Adapted from Thompson, S., Morse, A., Sharpe, M., and Hall, S. (2005)

V. Determining the Most Appropriate Assessment Option

According to the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act* (ESEA) and the IDEA 2004, students with disabilities are expected and required to participate in the statewide accountability assessment program. The IEP team determines how a student will participate in the state's accountability system. The decisions are made on a student-by-student, assessment-by-assessment, subject-by-subject, and year-by-year basis.

A. Selecting the most appropriate assessment option.

Ask:

- □ What types of assessments are offered in the state?
- What types of responses do different state assessments require?
- □ Has the student received standards-based, grade-level instruction?
- □ What was the student's instructional level?
- □ Can the student make progress toward grade-level standards in the same time frame?
- Can the student demonstrate what he/she knows on the assessment option(s) under consideration?

The ESEA and the IDEA 2004 further require that all students have access to and instruction in enrolled grade-level content. These laws make allowances for appropriate accommodations and alternate assessments, as mandated by a student's IEP. However, even alternate assessments are aligned to the same general education curriculum standards.

When determining participation in Virginia's Accountability System, all students with disabilities first must be considered for participation in the SOL assessments. A student's IEP or 504 Plan must specify the student's participation in the state accountability system as follows:

- participation in the Standards of Learning test with no accommodations;
- participation in the Standards of Learning test with accommodations;
- participation in the Virginia Substitute Evaluation Program (VSEP);
- participation in the Virginia Modified Achievement Standards Test (VMAST)⁵;
- participation in the Virginia Alternate Assessment Program (VAAP);
- participation in Substitute Tests for Verified Credit (i.e., WorkKeys).

The document, <u>Students with Disabilities</u>: <u>Guidelines for Assessment Participation</u> (PDF) at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/participation/index.shtml, provides the state assessment options available to students with disabilities, the participation criteria, and information about the roles and responsibilities of Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams and 504

⁵ VMAST availability is limited to *Algebra I* and End-of-Course (EOC) *Reading* for students with disabilities who are eligible to use credit accommodations for the Standard Diploma and to Grade 8 *Mathematics* and Grade 8 *Reading* for students with disabilities who are pursuing a Modified Standard Diploma.

committees in making assessment determinations. This guide can be found on the VDOE Web site.

B. Adding the appropriate assessment accommodations

Ask:

- □ What accommodations are allowed on the assessment(s)?
- □ Are the accommodations approved for the assessment also used in the classroom?

Accommodations considered for testing should be those that the student uses during classroom instruction and assessments as identified in the student's IEP. Accommodations should not be used only for participating in a state assessment. The use of an unfamiliar accommodation during testing may have a negative impact on the student's performance. It is important to note that certain accommodations used for instruction or classroom assessment may not be allowable on the statewide assessment. An accommodation based solely on its potential to enhance performance beyond providing equal access is inappropriate.

Typically, testing accommodations can be classified in the following categories:

Typically, testing accommodations can be classified in the following categories:			
Timing/Scheduling Setting			
time of day	small group testing		
 breaks during test 	individual testing		
 multiple test session* 	special lighting		
 order of test administration 	adaptive or special furniture		
	test administration in locations with minimal distractions		
	hospital/home/non-school setting		
Presentation	Response		
Plain English version	enlarged copy of the answer document*		
 read directions to student 	student marks test booklet and examiner/proctor transfers		
 audio version of test items* 	responses to answer document*		
pencil/paper	word processor, typewriter or augmentative communication		
 visual aids* examples include tinted 	device*		
screen, magnifying glass	communication board or choice cards		
amplification equipment	• brailler*		
large print test*	word processor or word processor with speech-to-text*		
Braille	• spelling aids*		
plain English-Mathematics	English dictionary*		
reading aloud test items*	dictation using a recording device		
interpreting/transliterating test	dictation to a scribe*		
items*	read back student's response*		
specific verbal prompts*	word prediction software*		
written directions accompanying oral	calculator or arithmetic tables*		
directions	• math aids*		
	calculator with additional functions*		
	dry erase board*		
	 additional markers, highlighters, colored pens, and/or pencils* 		

Note: Special state procedures must be followed for each accommodation marked with an asterisk (*)

The VDOE document, <u>Students with Disabilities: Guidelines for Special Test Accommodations</u> at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/testing/participation/index.shtml, provides a description of test accommodations currently permitted on state assessments.

Quick Check:

- An appropriate state assessment or assessments has been selected for each tested subject area.
- If not participating in the regular SOL assessment, a justification for participation in an alternate assessment is documented.
- A need for and selection of accommodations has been determined.
- If a specific accommodation has eligibility criteria, the student has met the eligibility requirements.
- The selected accommodation is allowable on the state assessment.

VI. Determining the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)


In the regulations, Least Restrictive Enviornment (LRE) is defined as meaning that to the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are not disabled, and that special classes, separate schooling or other removal of children with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only when the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily. (34 CFR 300.114 through 34 CFR 300.120)

Defining LRE Services: The LRE data includes the calculations of the amount of time a student will spend in regular education settings versus time spent in special education settings each day. Schedule of services to be provided, including when the services are to begin, the frequency, duration and location for the provision of services must be included.

Defining LRE continues: Placement

The regulations also require the IEP team to document in the IEP its review of a continuum of alternative placements, including instruction in regular classes, special classes, special schools, home instruction, and instruction in hospitals and institutions.

Continuum of Alternative Placements Includes Instruction in:



No single model for the delivery of services to any specific population or category of children with disabilities is acceptable for meeting this requirement. All placement decisions shall be based on the individual needs of each child. A documented explanation of any time the child will not participate along with nondisabled children is required.

Quick Check:

- The IEP team clearly described the following for each service:
 - ✓ frequency and amount (time or conditions),
 - ✓ location (general or special education classroom, lunchroom, etc.), and
 - ✓ duration (generally beginning and ending dates of IEP, unless otherwise specified.)
- Each statement of service is clear and unambiguous.
- If the student will not participate in the general education environment (age appropriate and natural settings for preschool children) full-time, did the IEP team describe why full-time participation with nondisabled peers is not appropriate?
- The IEP team considered whether the student will participate with nondisabled peers in extracurricular and nonacademic activities.

VII. Considering Extended School Year (ESY) Services:

According to the regulations, Extended School Year (ESY) Services refers to special education and/or related services provided beyond the normal school year of a school division for the purpose of providing Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) to a student with a disability. These services, provided by a local education agency, are distinct from enrichment programs, summer school programs, and compensatory services and are not simply an extension of time.

Factors to be considered when determining the need for ESY services:

- □ regression/recoupment
- degrees of progress
- emerging skills/breakthrough opportunities
- interfering behaviors
- □ the nature and/or severity of the disability
- special circumstances or other factors

An examination of these factors, which are described later in this document, should lead the IEP team to answer the basic question articulated by the courts: will the benefits a disabled child gains during the regular school year be **significantly jeopardized** if the child is not provided with the ESY program? If the answer is "yes," then the child must receive ESY services in order to receive FAPE.

VIII. Obtaining Parental Consent:

Giving permission, or written consent, is voluntary on the part of the parent and may be withdrawn at any time. However, written consent is required from the parent before the implementation of special education and related services for the first time. Additional information on the requirements for consent and the IEP process can be found in the *Regulations Governing Programs for Students with Disabilities in Virginia* at www.doe.virginia.gov.

Sample: Prior Notice and Parent Consent Form			
ELEMENTARY INDIVIDUALIZED EDU	CATION PROGRAM	∕I (IEP)	
PRIOR NOTICE AND PARENT CONSE	NT		
Student Name _Jane Smith Da	ate <u>12 / 10 / 10</u>	Page X of X	Student ID _999999
a) PRIOR I	NOTICE		
The school division proposes to implem free appropriate public education in the review of current records, current assess Present Level of Academic Achievement and the reason(s) for rejection are attacted. IEP. Additionally, other factors, if any the student rights are explained in the Procedural Safegua contact	e least restrictive endssments and the stud t and Functional Per ched, or can be foun nat are relevant to the edural Safeguards. ards or need assistan at ()	vironment. This decision dent's performance at formance. Other option din the Placement Denis proposal are attactify you, the parent(s) at the parent of the performance in understanding the denis proposal are attactions.	sion is based upon a s documented in the ions considered, if any, ecision section of this hed. Parent and adult nd adult student, need this information please
at (_) or	· e-mail	·
Parent(s) initials here indicate that the any, before giving permission to implement		ead the above prior not	ice and attachments, if
PARENT/ADULT STUDENT CONSENT:			
Indicate your response by checking the	appropriate space a	nd sign below.	
_X I give permission to implement t	his IEP.		
I do not give permission to imple	ement this IEP.		
<u>Mr. and Mrs. John Smith</u> Parent Signature			_12_/10 /_10 Date

IX. ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS: SECONDARY IEP

A. TRANSFER OF RIGHTS AT AGE OF MAJORITY:

Beginning not later than one year before the child reaches 18 years of age, the IEP includes a statement that the child and parent were informed of the child's rights under Part B of the IDEA that will transfer to the child on reaching the age of majority.

Sample Document:

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS AT THE AGE OF MAJORITY (age 18):

Indicate the date	that the student and parent were informed of the transfer of parental rights under	
IDEA to the adult	student at the age of 18. This must occur at least one year prior to the age of 18.	
Date	School Official Signature	
I was informed of the parental rights under IDEA and that these rights transfer to me at age 18.		
Date	Student Signature	
	Student Signature the parental rights under IDEA that transfer to my child at age 18.	

B. DIPLOMA/PROGRAM COMPLETION STATUS: Discuss at least annually, more often as appropriate. This student is a candidate for a(n):

[] Advanced Studies Diploma
[] Standard Diploma
[] Modified Standard Diploma*
[] Applied Studies Diploma
[] Certificate of Program Completion
[] Certificate of High School Equivalency Exam
[] GAD (General Achievement Diploma) (only for those who meet specific requirements
[] Not discussed at this time

^{*} The Modified Standard Diploma (MSD) is no longer an option for students with disabilities who enter the ninth grade for the first time in the 2013-2014 school year and beyond. For those students who may be eligible for the MSD, the team must consider the student's need for occupational readiness.

C. CREDIT ACCOMMODATIONS:

It is the IEP team's responsibility to determine if a student is eligible to use credit accommodations to obtain the Standard Diploma. Credit accommodations provide alternatives for students with disabilities in earning the standard and verified credits required to graduate with a Standard Diploma. Credit accommodations for students with disabilities may include:

- Alternative courses to meet the standard credit requirements
- Modifications to the requirements for locally awarded verified credits
- Additional tests approved by the Board of Education for earning verified credits
- Adjusted cut scores on tests for earning verified credits
- Allowance of work-based learning experiences through career and technical education (CTE) courses

If the student is determined to be eligible for credit accommodations, a signed participation criteria form and supporting documentation must be completed and made available upon request. Guidance on the Use of Credit Accommodations can be found at <u>Guidelines for Standard Diploma Credit Accommodations for Students with Disabilities (PDF)</u>.

D. Summary of Performance:

A summary of performance should be given to a student when they exit the secondary program.

Projected Graduation/Exit Date:
Is the student projected to graduate/exit school this year?NoYes
$\underline{\text{If yes}}$, a Summary of Performance must be provided to the student prior to graduating or exceeding the age of eligibility. 6
A summary, which will help the student make the transition to postsecondary settings includes
 Academic achievement - what the youth knows such as literacy, numeracy, consumer, personal finance, and learning skills; reasoning, communication, processing, including the accommodations, supports and modifications required, etc.;

[□] Functional performance - behavior across different environments such as how the youth interacts with peers at school, in the community, at work; self-care, mobility, self-

⁶ Special education and related services end upon receiving an Advanced Studies Diploma or Standard Diploma. If the student receives a Modified Standard Diploma, Applied Studies or Special Diploma, Certificate of Program Completion, a GAD or a GED Certificate, the student remains entitled to a free appropriate public education through age 21. If the student will graduate with an advanced or standard diploma during the term of the IEP, prior written notice must be completed.

determination, safety, executive functioning skills, including the accommodations	and
supports required, etc.;	

- Supports Accommodations, modifications, assistive technology or other supports that students might need to be successful in postsecondary environments; and
- Next Steps Recommendations for attaining postsecondary goals such as attend college orientation, meet with Department of Rehabilitative Services, meet with Disability Services Counselor at community college, keep a file of current disability documentation, complete employment applications, etc.

Ask:	
	What is the Projected Graduation/Exit Date?
	Is the student projected to graduate/exit school this year? No Yes
	Will the student be graduating with a Standard or higher level diploma or exceeding the
	age of eligibility this year? No Yes
Is there	eragency Release of Information Form: e a current signed (by parent or adult student) release of confidential information on file se school? No Yes _If No, discuss release forms for transition planning with t and family.
SAMPL	E: CONSENT TO INVITE AGENCY PERSONNEL

Date:	
	etive of any agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or eeting, written consent from the parent or adult student is
I give my consent for an agency re IEP meeting.	epresentative(s) named on the meeting notice to be invited to the
I do not give my consent for an age the IEP meeting.	ency representative(s) named on the meeting notice to be invited to
Parent/Adult Student Signature	Date
**Please sig	n and return this page to your child's IEP Case Manager.

Virginia Department of Education Resources:

Virginia Department of Education Special Education http://www.doe.virginia.gov/special ed/index.shtml

TTAC Online VAAP Resources - http://ttaconline.org/vaap

TTAC Online IEP Resources - http://ttaconline.org/iep-ifsp-504

Guidance Document: VAAP Participation Criteria and Determination of Significant Cognitive Disabilities -

http://doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/disabilities/intellectual_disability/guidance_significant_cogn itive_disabilities.pdf

Virginia Department of Education-IEP resources -

http://doe.virginia.gov/special ed/iep instruct svcs/iep/index.shtml

Virginia Department of Education-Secondary Transition Resources http://doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/iep_instruct_svcs/iep/index.shtml

Virginia Department of Education Instruction http://www.doe.virginia.gov/instruction/index.shtml

Other Resources:

Dynamic Learning Maps Instructional Resources. Retrieved from http://dlmpd.com/instructional-resources/

Dynamic Learning Maps Learning Modules. Retrieved from http://dlmpd.com/all-modules-in-alphabetical-order/

Parent Resources:

http://www.doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/parents/index.shtml

References:

Access to the General Curriculum Statewide Network (January 2008.) *Texas essential knowledge and skills (TEKS)-based individualized education program (IEP) Development: Question & Answer Document.* Retrieved on February, 2016 from

http://www4.esc13.net/uploads/agc/docs/Tools/standardsbaseddevqa.pdf.

Ahearn, E. (May 2006). Standards-based IEPs: implementation in selected states. Project Forum.

Alabama Department of Education (2006). *Standards-based IEPs*. Retrieved June 30, 2010, from http://docs.alsde.edu/.

Alexandrin, J. R. (2003). *Using continuous, constructive classroom evaluations*. TEACHING Exceptional Children, 52-57.

An Administrator's Guide to Measuring Achievement for Students with IEPs. Retrieved from http://www.awa11.k12.ia.us/iep/iepresults/AdministratorsGuide.htm.

Etscheidt, Susan K. (2006). *Progress monitoring: Legal issues and recommendations for IEP teams.* TEACHING Exceptional Children, 56-60.

Georgia Department of Education (n.d.) *How Do We Get Them There From Here? Effective. Decision-Making and Documentation for Standards-based IEPs.* Retrieved June 30, 2010, from www.doe.k12.ga.us.

Holbrook, M. (August 2007). In forum: Standards-based individualized education programs examples. *Project Forum.* National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE). Alexandria, Virginia. Retrieved on February, 2015 from www.nasdse.org/portals/0/standards-basediepexamples.pdf.

McLaughlin, M. (2009). *Keys to creating standards-based IEPs.* Special Edge Newsletter. Retrieved February, 2016 from http://www.calstat.org/publications/pdfs/09AutEDge_EngNewsltr.pdf.

Advisory Brief: Understanding the Standards-based Individualized Education Program (IEP). Retrieved from National Center for Learning Disabilities at www.LD.org.

MacQuarrie, P. (2009) Standards-based Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) Benefit Students: IEPs must align with the general education curriculum. Focus on Results. Volume #7, Issue#2 Packet#14, Article 1. Retrieved June 27, 2010, from www.cenmi.org.

Michigan Department of Education: Resources for Standards-based IEPs, Michigan Association of Administrators of Special Education (MAASE). Retrieved from www.maase.org/DownloadCenter.php.

National Center and State Collaborative Brief 5 (October, 2015). Standards-based Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) for Students Who Participate in AA-AAS. Retrieved from http://www.ncscpartners.org/Media/Default/PDFs/Resources/NCSCBrief5.pdf.

National Center and State Collaborative Brief 7 (December, 2015) NCSC's Content Model for Grade-Aligned Instruction and Assessment: "The Same Curriculum for All Students." Retrieved from http://www.ncscpartners.org/Media/Default/PDFs/Resources/NCSCBrief7.pdf.

Pemberton, J. B. (2003). Communicating academic progress as an integral part of assessment. TEACHING Exceptional Children, 16-20

Quenemoen, R. F., Lehr, C. A., Thurlow, M. L., & Massanari, C. B. (2001). Students with disabilities in standards-based assessment and accountability systems: Emerging issues, strategies, and recommendations (*Synthesis Report 37*). Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, National Center on Educational Outcomes. Retrieved on February, 2016 from http://education.umn.edu/NCEO/OnlinePubs/Synthesis37.html.

Standards Aligned Individualized Education Programs, Pennsylvania Training and Technical Assistance Network (PaTTAN), April 2, 2009. Retrieved from Pennsylvania State Department of Education at www.pde.state.pa.us.

The University of the State of New York and The State Education Department (2010). Guide to Quality Individualized Education Program (IEP) Development and Implementation Retrieved on August 12, 2010, from http://www.vesid.nysed.gov/specialed

Thompson, S., Morse, A., Sharpe, M., and Hall, S. (2005). Accommodation manual: How to select, administer, and evaluate use of accommodation for instruction and assessment for students with disabilities. Retrieved on February, 2016 from https://www.osepideasthatwork.org/toolkit/accommodations manual.asp.

U.S. Department of Education. (2007, April). *Modified academic achievement standards* [non-regulatory guidance]. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from http://www.ed.gov/policy/speced/guid/nclb/two%.doc.

Understanding the Standards-based Individualized Education Program (IEP) National Center for Learning Disabilities. Retrieved from www.LD.org.

West Virginia Department of Education (2004). Connecting West Virginia Content Standards and Objectives to Individualized Education Program (IEP) Development: A technical Brief. Retrieved June 27, 2010, from http://wvde.state.wv.us/ose/StandardsBasedIEPs.pdf.

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (2010). A Guide for Writing IEPs. Retrieved August 10, 2010, from http://dpi.wi.gov/sites/default/files/imce/sped/pdf/iepguide.pdf.

Appendices:

A.	Sampl	e IEP: General Curriculum	42-51
	a.	Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance	
	b.	Annual Academic Goals	
	c.	Functional Goal	
	d.	Progress Reporting Tool	
	e.	Accommodations and Modification	
	f.	Participation in Statewide Assessment	
	g.	Least Restrictive Environment Service and Placement	
В.	Sampl	e IEP: Aligned Standards	52-55
	a.	Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance	
	b.	Annual Academic Goals	
	c.	Functional Goal	
C.	Sampl	e Transition IEP: General Curriculum	56-61
	a.	Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance	
	b.	PostSecondary Goals (General Curriculum)	
	c.	Postsecondary planning sheet	
	d.	Annual Academic Goals	
	e.	Functional Goal	
D.	Sampl	e Transition IEP: Aligned Standards	62-65
	a.	Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance	
	b.	PostSecondary Goals (General Curriculum)	
	c.	Postsecondary planning sheet	
	d.	Annual Academic Goals	
Ε.	Sampl	e Prior Written Document	66

Appendix A: Sample IEP: General Curriculum Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance

Student Name: Jane Smith Date 12/10/15 Page of Student Number 99999

The Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance summarize the results of assessments that identify the student's interests, preferences, strengths and areas of need. It also describes the effect of the student's disability on his or her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, and for preschool children, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities. This includes the student's performance and achievement in academic areas such as writing, reading, mathematics, science, and history/social sciences. It also includes the student's performance in functional areas, such as self-determination, social competence, communication, behavior and personal management. Test scores, if included, should be self-explanatory or an explanation should be included, and the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance should be written in objective measurable terms, to the extent possible. There should be a direct relationship among the desired goals including postsecondary goals, the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance, and all other components of the IEP.

Jane's Present Level of Performance: Grade 4

Jane is a fourth-grade student who is included in general education classes with accommodations and supports. A review of classroom assessments, Standards of Learning Assessments, and input from parents and teachers indicates that Jane's reading comprehension is a weakness. Jane's strength in reading includes understanding the meaning of unknown words by reading the words in context and the application of word structures (prefix, base words, and suffix). She has difficulty with higher order thinking skills, such as predicting, summarizing and making inferences. She is currently receiving reading instruction in direct instruction intervention curriculum and is working to improve her reading fluency and applying comprehension strategies. Even though her decoding skills have improved, Jane's reading fluency remains weak and has adversely impacted her reading comprehension. To ensure that she can demonstrate her knowledge of science and social studies content and decrease the impact of the lack of fluency and inattentiveness to detail, she receives the read aloud accommodation for these content areas and she has consistently performed above average in these areas. On the third-grade science and social studies SOL, she scored above 450.

Jane is functioning two years below grade-level in mathematics. She knows her addition and subtraction facts, but has not mastered her multiplication facts. Her knowledge of place value allows her to successfully compare and order whole numbers, but due to her abstract reasoning deficit, the concept of fractions and their use and solving multi-step word problems are difficult for her. Her third-grade SOL assessment and classwork indicates that she has strengths in understanding probability and measuring. Visuals, hands-on experiences and real-life connections enhance her mathematics performance. In writing, analysis of her writing samples indicates that she writes simple sentences with limited descriptive language. Her paragraphs are under-developed.

Jane is easily distracted and demonstrates inattentive behavior that impacts her learning. She is distracted by any noise or movement in the classroom and is able to remain on task and focused for 20 minutes when monitored by an adult, without reinforcement. Her inattentive behaviors also impact her reading comprehension and ability to complete assignments. Teachers and her parents report that she becomes frustrated when she makes a mistake. Frequent checks for understanding by adults eliminate this problem.

Annual Goals (General Curriculum)

Reading Content Standard:

- 4.5 The student will read and demonstrate comprehension of nonfiction.
 - a) Use text organizers, such as type, headings, and graphics, to predict and categorize information.
 - b) Formulate questions that might be answered in the selection.
 - c) Explain the author's purpose.
 - d) Make simple inferences, using information from texts.
 - e) Draw conclusions, using information from texts.
 - f) Summarize content of selection, identifying important ideas and providing details for each important idea.
 - g) Describe relationship between content and previously learned concepts or skills.
 - h) Distinguish between cause and effect and between fact and opinion.
 - i) Identify new information gained from reading.

MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOA	.LS (sample):	
Student Name:Jane Smith	Date12/10/15P	ageof Student Number_999999
reading materials and graph	ic organizers, Jane will di	current school term, using grade-level raw conclusions, summarize content, make generalizations with 80 % accuracy on 3 out
The IEP team considered the	e need for short-term ob	ojectives/benchmarks.
☐ Short-term objectives/be	nchmarks are included for	or this goal.
(Required for students pa	rticipating in the VAAP)	
∴	, -	led for this goal.
, ,		
How will progress toward this ann	nual goal be measured? (che	eck all that apply)
Classroom Participation	Observation	Criterion-referenced test:
Worksheet	Special Projects	Norm-referenced test:
X_ Classwork	X Tests and Quizzes	
Homework	Written Reports	

N	/lath	ematic	s Conte	ont Sta	ndard:

4.2 The student will

X Classwork
Homework

- a) compare and order fractions and mixed numbers;
- b) represent equivalent fractions; and
- c) identify the division statement that represents a fraction.

X Tests and Quizzes

____ Written Reports

MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOALS	S (sample):			
Student Name <u>Jane Smith</u> D	ate <u>12/10/15</u> Pag	geof Student Number_999999		
# 2 MEASURABLE ANNUAL GO	AL: Using manipulat	tives, models and drawings, Jane will be able		
to compare, order and represe	nt fractions having de	lenominators of 12 or less with 80 % accuracy		
by the end of the third nine we	eks.	•		
The IEP team considered the r	need for short-term o	objectives/benchmarks.		
☐ Short-term objectives/ben	chmarks are include	ed for this goal.		
(Required for students parti	cipating in the VAAP	·)		
Short-term objectives/benchmarks are not included for this goal.				
_ Short term objectives, ber		raaca for tino goan		
How will progress toward this annu-	al goal he measured? (ch	hack all that annly)		
Classroom Participation	=	Criterion-referenced test:		
Worksheet				
VVOIRSHEEL	Special Flojects	Norm-referenced test:		

__X_ Other: Student-made graph or chart_

Functional Goal (General Curriculum)

MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOALS (sa	mple):				
Student Name: _Jane Smith_ Date_					
# 3 MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL: During daily independent practice, Jane will self-monitor her frustration level by asking for help when she does not understand what to do or comprehend the next step in the directions without teacher prompting in 3 of 5 situations.					
The IEP team considered the need	for short-term objectives/benchmarks.				
☐ Short-term objectives/benchm	narks are included for this goal.				
(Required for students participa	iting in the VAAP)				
☒ Short-term objectives/benchm	narks are not included for this goal.				
How will progress toward this annual goa	al he measured? (check all that apply)				
Classroom Participation					
	Special Projects Norm-referenced test:				
	Tests and Quizzes X Other: Student-made graph or chart				
Homework	_ Written Reports				
Progress Reporting Tool: Student NameJane Smith_ Date2/10/16 Pageof Student Number999999 Progress must be reported at least as often as parents of nondisabled students are informed of their child's progress. Progress on this goal will be reported to the parent or adult student using the following codes. To provide a more detailed summary of a student's performance, a narrative					
comment form.	valuation tool is encouraged. Attach comments using progress report				
Jane will draw conclusions, summa	erm, using grade-level reading materials and graphic organizers, arize content, make inferences and locate evidence from text to accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.				
Anticipated Date of Progress Report*	2/5/2016				
Actual Date of Progress Report	2/10/2016				
Progress Code	* SP				

- * **SP** -The student is making **S**ufficient **P**rogress to achieve this annual goal within the duration of this IEP.
- **ES** The student demonstrates **E**merging **S**kill but may not achieve this goal within the duration of this IEP.
- M -The student has Mastered this annual goal.

- **IP** -The student has demonstrated Insufficient **Pr**ogress to meet this annual goal and may not achieve this goal within the duration of this IEP. (explanation needed)
- **NI** -The student has **N**ot been provided Instruction on this goal.

Sample of Narrative: PROGRESS REPORT COMMENTS, Continued (This document is optional)

Student Name: <u>Jane Smith</u> Date <u>2/10/16</u> Page <u>of</u> Student Number <u>9999999</u>

*Goal #_1_ Progress Report Code <u>SP</u> Jane is currently accurately drawing conclusions and summarizing on 75 % of her assignments. She is consistently using her strategies and independently requesting the graphic organizers that work for her. Generalizing and making inferences is still weak with only 65 % accuracy on classroom assignments and quizzes. We will continue to work on these skills.

Accommodation/Modification

Student Name _Jane Smith_ Date_12/10/15 __ Page ___of __ Student Number_999999

This student will be provided access to general education classes, special education classes, other school services and activities including nonacademic activities and extracurricular activities, and education related settings:

with no accommodations/modifications x with the following accommodations/modifications

Accommodations/modifications provided as part of the instructional and testing/assessment process will allow the student equal opportunity to access the curriculum and demonstrate achievement. Accommodations/modifications also provide access to nonacademic and extracurricular activities and educationally related settings. Accommodations/modifications based solely on the potential to enhance performance beyond providing equal access are inappropriate.

Accommodations may be in, but not limited to, the areas of time, scheduling, setting, presentation and response. The impact of any modifications listed should be discussed.

ACCOMMODATIONS/MODIFICATIONS (list, as appropriate)

Accommodation(s)/Modification(s)	Frequency	Location ⁷ (name of school*)	Instructional Setting	Duration m/d/y to m/d/y
Preferential seating in an area with minimal distractions	Daily	Division school	General classrooms	1/3//2015- 6/5/2016
Read aloud on test in math, science and social studies	Daily	Division school	General classroom	1/3//2015- 6/5/2016
Digital text in social studies and science	Daily	Division school	General classroom	1/3//2015- 6/5/2016
Graphic organizers	Weekly during summarizing activities	Division school	General classroom	1/3//2015- 6/5/2016

⁻

⁷ * IEP teams are required to identify the specific school site (public or private) when the parent expresses concerns about the location of the services or refuses the proposed site. A listing of more than one anticipated location is permissible, if the parents do not indicate that they will object to any particular school or state that the team should identify a single school.

Participation in the Statewide Assessment

Name: Jane Smith	Date 12	/10/15	Page of	Student Number	999999
------------------	---------	--------	---------	----------------	--------

Name: <u>Jane</u>	Smith_Date_12/10/15Page_ofStudent	Number_ <u>999999</u>			
Test	Assessment Type* Select the appropriate assessment (SOL, VSEP, VMAST***, VAAP, or Board of Education Approved Substitute)	Accommodations**	If yes, list accommodation(s)		
Reading	区 SOL□ Not Assessed at this Grade-level	⊠Yes □No	Paper/pencil test Multiple sessions		
<u>Math</u>	■ VSEP■ Not Assessed at this Grade-level	⊠Yes □No	Read aloud calculator		
<u>Science</u>	□ ⊠ Not Assessed at this Grade-level	□Yes □No			
History/SS	□ ⊠ Not Assessed at this Grade-level	□Yes □No			
Writing	Writing ☐ ☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				
** Accommod assessment. F the IEP. ***VMAST is n Course Readin	may not exempt a student from participation in a conteassessed. ation(s) must be based upon those the student general or the accommodations that may be considered, refermologies to longer available as alternate assessment, but may be gland Algebra I for students with a disability scoring 37 SOL End-of-Course test.	Illy uses during classroom to "Accommodations/Mo	instruction and odifications" page of nodation for End-of-		

EXPLANATION FOR NONPARTICIPATION IN REGULAR STATE ASSESSMENTS: If an IEP team determines that a student must take an alternate assessment instead of a regular state assessment, the IEP team must explain in the space below:

- □ why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment;
- why the particular assessment selected is appropriate for the student, including that the student meets the criteria for the alternate assessments;
- how the student's nonparticipation in the regular assessment will impact the child's promotion; or other matters such as graduation and diploma options.

☑ Alternate/Alternate	ative Participation Crite	eria is attached or maintained in	the student's
educational record.	Box must be checked	(parent initials)	Date

Due to Jane's current level of performance in mathematics, even though she is working toward meeting grade-level expectations and is receiving grade-level content instruction, she is not expected to meet

grade-level expectations within the year and is unable to demonstrate her math knowledge in a multiple choice and technology enhanced format due to her visual discrimination weakness.

Refer to the VDOE's Students with Disabilities: Guidelines for Assessment Participation.

Least Restrictive Environment - (Service documentation)

Student Name <u>Jane Smith</u> Date <u>12/10/15</u> Page <u>X</u> of <u>X</u> Student Number <u>999999</u>

SERVICES: Identify the service(s), including frequency, duration and location that will be provided to or on behalf of the student in order for the student to receive a free appropriate public education. These services are the special education services and as necessary, the related services, supplementary aids and services based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, assistive technology, supports for personnel*, accommodations and/or modifications* and extended school year services* the student will receive that will address area(s) of need as identified by the IEP team. Address any needed transportation and physical education services including accommodations and/or modifications.

Service(s)	Eroguonov	Location	Instructional	Duration
Service(s)	Frequency	(name of school **)	Setting	m/d/y to m/d/y
Mathematics	45 minutes/day 5 days/wk	Elementary school	general classroom	1/3/2016-6/1/2016 excluding holidays, summer breaks
Language arts	45 minutes/day 5 days/wk	Elementary school	general classroom	1/3/2016- 6/1/2016 excluding holidays, summer breaks

^{*} These services are listed on the "Accommodations/Modifications" page and "Extended School Year Services" page, as needed.

^{**} IEP teams are required to identify the specific school site (public or private) when the parent expresses concerns about the location of the services or refuses the proposed site. A listing of more than one anticipated location is permissible, if the parents do not indicate that they will object to any particular school or state that the team should identify a single school.

LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT – (PLACEMENT document)

Student Name _Jane Smith _ Date _12/10/15 _ Page _x of x _ Student ID Number _999999_

No single model for the delivery of services to any population or category of children with disabilities is acceptable for meeting the requirement for a continuum of alternative placements. All placement decisions shall be based on the individual needs of each student. The team may consider placement options in conjunction with discussing any needed supplementary aids and services, accommodations/modifications, assistive technology/accessible materials, and supports for school personnel. In considering the placement continuum options, check those the team discussed. Then, describe the placement selected in the **PLACEMENT DECISION** section below. Determination of the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) and placement may be one or a combination of options along the continuum.

PLACEMENT CONTINUUM OPTIONS CONSIDERED: (check all that have been considered):

- general education class(es)
- □ special class(es)
- special education day school
- □ state special education program/school
- Public residential facility
- Private residential facility
- Homebound
- Hospital
- □ Other

PLACEMENT DECISION: General classroom

Based upon identified services and the consideration of least restrictive environment (LRE) and placement continuum options, describe in the space below the placement. Additionally, summarize the discussions and decision around LRE and placement. This must include an explanation of why the student <u>will not</u> be participating with students without disabilities in the general education class(es), programs, and activities. Attach additional pages as needed.

Explanation of Placement Decision:

Based on Jane's present level of performance and her goals in mathematics and language arts, the IEP team agrees that the required specialized instruction, supplemental aids and services to meet her educational needs can be provided in the general education class in collaboration with the special education teacher.

Extended School Year Services

The IEP team determined that the student needs ESY services.

☑ The IEP team determined that the student does not need ESY services. Describe: <u>Jane's</u> progress on current goals will not be significantly jeopardized by the lack of the services beyond the normal school term.

Student Name: Jane Smith Date 12/10/15 Page of Student Number 999999

Summarize the IEP team's discussions and decision about ESY: Based on current performance and review of data, Jane's performance will not be jeopardized by the lack of services beyond the normal school term. Extended Year Services are not required at this time.

If ESY services are to be provided, identify which goals in the current IEP will be addressed by the ESY service.

Identify the Extended School Year services needed to meet these goals:

Service(s)	Frequency	Location (name of school **)	Instructional Setting	Duration m/d/y to m/d/y

^{**}IEP teams are required to identify the specific school site (public or private) when the parent expresses concerns about the location of the services or refuses the proposed site. A listing of more than one anticipated location is permissible, if the parents do not indicate that they will object to any particular school or state that the team should identify a single school.

Appendix B: Sample IEP (Aligned Standards)

Present Level of Functional and Academic Performance

Student Name Stephen Johnson Date 3 /2 /15 Page 2 of 12 Student ID Number 2367891

The Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance summarize the results of assessments that identify the student's interests, preferences, strengths and areas of need. It also describes the effect of the student's disability on his or her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, and for preschool children, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities. This includes the student's performance and achievement in academic areas such as writing, reading, math, science, and history/social sciences. It also includes the student's performance in functional areas, such as self-determination, social competence, communication, behavior and personal management. Test scores, if included, should be self-explanatory or an explanation should be included, and the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance should be written in objective measurable terms, to the extent possible. There should be a direct relationship among the desired goals, the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance, and all other components of the IEP.

Stephen, a twelve year-old sixth-grader at White Oak Middle School, has been diagnosed with significant intellectual disabilities which impacts his progress in the general education curriculum. Stephen currently receives daily instruction in Literacy (reading, writing, and communication), Math, vocational and daily living skill training in individual and small group settings in the special education classroom, except for Science and Introduction to Technology course (a general education sixth-grade elective) which he has taken (using an adaptive/modified curriculum) with the assistance of a one-on-one instructional assistant. Stephen really likes science and computer technology and enjoys any activity relating to these two subjects.

Stephen has spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy and uses a manual wheelchair for mobility which has been adapted with trunk support and subasis bar. He is able to use his right hand to manipulate larger items and can use his left hand for stabilization. Stephen receives physical therapy one time a week for 30 minutes and has ongoing therapy services in the classroom including positioning on adaptive equipment. He wears Ankle Foot Orthoses (AFOs) for stability when using a stander and a left hand-elbow mobilizer. Stephen also receives occupational therapy on a consultative basis.

Stephen has little intelligible speech other than single words and yes/no responses but within the classroom has used an iTalk2 to communicate simple needs and choices and is learning to use a GoTalk20+. He does not use an augmentative communication device at home; instead, he uses a picture board which transitions with him between school, the community, and home. Stephen receives speech therapy two times a week for 30 minutes each session.

He is polite to his peers and teachers. When provided an assignment to complete, Stephen attempts the assignment with vigor but is easily frustrated and will stop working quickly when overwhelmed. Assignments need to be short, repetitive, and hands-on in order to keep his focus and to help understand concept being taught.

Academics

Based on observations and data collection, Stephen is not able to recognize any sight words, but his name. He is able to recognize pictures and symbols representing approximately 20 words places, objects, people, activities or events (when presented in context) including: "eat," "drink," "outside," "friend," "family members," "teachers," "book," "computers," "bathroom," and "work." Stephen is able to demonstrate an understanding of certain symbols/pictures when they are presented in context. For example, when his sheet of symbols for his science class is put into his communication board, he is able to recognize and use the symbols/pictures for "clouds", "water" and "temperatures. If these symbols are mixed in with others and presented at other times, he has difficulty using them. Stephen also loves to be read to by his peers and looking through magazines or books with family and friends.

In the area of written expression, Stephen is not able to write his name, but he can make a controlled stroke for his signature. Stephen's typing skills are limited, but he is able to use a touch screen on a computer or tablet to select pictures or items in order to complete assignments.

In mathematics, Stephen has difficulty processing information to comprehend abstract concepts and practical word problems which impacts his success in math. He is able to recognize numerals 1-30 when used in context and he can add digits in sums to ten, but has no understanding of the concept of subtraction. He is able to recognize coins and knows the value of a penny, nickel, dime, and quarter, and can make simple purchases less than a dollar in real life situations. His is also able to use picture graphs to indicate more or less when comparing two objects.

Stephen is eligible to participate in the Virginia Alternate Assessment Program (VAAP) due to his significant cognitive disabilities, modified/adaptive curriculum and extensive supports and instruction needed in all environments.

His parents at this time have no major concerns about Stephen's educational progress. They feel that he is progressing and his needs are being met with all the supports that have been put into place by his IEP team.

Annual Academic Goal (Aligned Reading Standards⁸)

Reading Aligned Content Standard	: 6E-CF 1	The student will:
----------------------------------	-----------	-------------------

- (SOL 6.5) a) determine what a fictional text says explicitly as well as what simple inferences should be drawn;
 - b) determine the theme or central idea of a familiar story and identify details that relate to it;
 - c) identify the episodes or significant events in a story or drama;
 - d) identify the progression of a key individual, event, or idea throughout a fictional text.

MEASURABLE ANNUAL G	OALS (sample)
----------------------------	---------------

Student Name: Stephe	n Johnson	Date	3/2/15	5 Pag	e of	Student Number	999999
Staucht Name, Stephie	.11 3011113011	Date	J/ Z/ I	Jiug	C 01	Student Number	

1 MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL: By the end of the current school term, using modified grade-level reading materials, computer adaptive technology, and pictures, Stephen will determine what a fictional text says, including the theme or central idea, and significant events with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.

MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE #1: By the end of the first marking period, using modified grade-level reading materials, and pictures paired with key words in reading material, Stephen will identify the central idea of a fictional story by pointing to a representative picture in a field of 3 distractors with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.

MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE #2: By the end of the second marking period, using modified grade-level reading materials, pictures and assistive technology, Stephen will identify the progression of the fictional story by pointing to representative pictures in sequential order with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.

The IEP team considered the need for short-term objectives/benchmarks.

- Short-term objectives/benchmarks are included for this goal. (Required for students participating in the VAAP)
- ☐ Short-term objectives/benchmarks are not included for this goal.

in Short-term objectives/bei	icililarks are not includ	ded for this goal.		
How will progress toward this annual goal be measured? (check all that apply)				
Classroom Participation	X_ Observation	Criterion-referenced test:		
X_ Worksheet	X Special Projects	Norm-referenced test:		
X_ Classwork	Tests and Quizzes	Other:		
Homework	Written Reports			

⁸ For students with significant cognitive disabilities who participate in assessments based on alternate academic achievement standards, such as (VAAP), Federal regulations require a description of benchmarks or short-term objectives. These short term objectives show the progress expected at each reporting period as the student moves toward mastery of the annual goal

Mathematic	cs Aligned Content	Standard: 6M-PSPFA 1	The student will:			
(SOL 6.14)	SOL 6.14) a) display data on a graph or table that shows variability in the data; b) summarize data distributions on a graph or table;					
	•	ion related to the collecte or from data collected by	ed data from an experiment, given a the student.			
MEASURAB	BLE ANNUAL GOALS	S (sample):				
Student Na	me <u>Stephen Johr</u>	nson Date <u>3/2/15</u> Pa	ageof Student Number_999999			
technology,		eate and summarize findir	g manipulatives, pictures, and assistive ngs on a graph, with 80 % accuracy on 3			
pictu	ures, and given a ch		i, using a computer software, or erent graphing formats, Stephen will in 3 out of 5 attempts.			
MEA	ASURABLE OBJECTI	VE #2: By January 2016, u	sing computer software, manipulatives			
•	ictures, Stephen wi of 5 collected work		85 % of steps for creating a graph in 3			
The IEP tea	m considered the r	need for short-term objec	tives/benchmarks.			
Short-te	rm objectives/ben	chmarks are included for t	this goal.			
(Require	ed for students part	cicipating in the VAAP)				
☐ Short-te	rm objectives/bend	chmarks are not included	for this goal.			
How will prog	ress toward this annu	al goal be measured? (check a	all that apply)			
Classro	oom Participation	Observation	Criterion-referenced test:			
Works	heet	X Special Projects	Norm-referenced test:			
X_ Classw	vork	Tests and Quizzes	X Other: Student-made graph or chart			
Home	work	Written Reports				

Appendix C: Sample Transition IEP: General Curriculum

Present Level of Academic and Functional Performance

Student Name_John Jones Date_6/_11_/15_ Page _2_of_12 Student ID Number_2341252

The Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance summarize the results of assessments that identify the student's interests, preferences, strengths and areas of need. It also describes the effect of the student's disability on his or her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, and for preschool children, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities. This includes the student's performance and achievement in academic areas such as writing, reading, math, science, and history/social sciences. It also includes the student's performance in functional areas, such as self-determination, social competence, communication, behavior and personal management. Test scores, if included, should be self-explanatory or an explanation should be included, and the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance should be written in objective measurable terms, to the extent possible. There should be a direct relationship among the desired goals, the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance, and all other components of the IEP.

John is a ninth-grade student who is identified as a student with a traumatic brain injury. The early childhood injury impacts him in the areas of long term memory, abstract reasoning and his ability to self-monitor his on-task behaviors. He is included in the general education class with accommodations and supports as well as resource services through special education.

He is very passionate about technology and is currently enrolled in an introduction to information technology course at the Career and Technology Center. Ongoing teacher observations noted that he is friendly with a close group of friends; however, he is more reserved in larger groups, but he is very capable of participating appropriately. He is also capable of independent work, and readily asks for help if further explanation is needed on concepts. John follows the school rules and procedures and is well mannered and respectful in the classroom. In the spring of his eighth-grade year, John participated in an informal assessment to determine strengths and areas in need of improvement. He indicated that his strengths are in science, technology and reading; however, he needs additional support in the areas of math and writing. John's mom was given a parent survey. She had concerns regarding his written language skills and felt they could be stronger.

According to a recent diagnostic math assessment, John performs at the 6.8 grade level. John is currently not passing his Algebra I class, though he took a remediation class in the summer. Math is the area that John needs the most support. He received a non-passing score of 375 on the eigth-grade math SOL assessment. He currently receives math services for 20 minutes daily in a small group. His teacher reports that frequent review of concepts and materials presented in small increments is the most effective methods for teaching math concepts to him. He grasps basic math skills with whole numbers, equality of fractions, decimals and %s. He is also able to setup proportions to solve problems, and add and subtract integers. John has difficulties with basic math skills that include mixed numbers, especially when it includes multistep word-problems which will affect his involvement and progress in the general curriculum.

Results of his most recent benchmark test indicate that he continues to need specialized instruction in the topic areas of solving practical problems, expressions/operations, exponents/squares/square roots, and plane and solid figures. Although John continues to work toward grade-level proficiency he is unlikely to achieve this within one year.

He did pass the eigth-grade reading test with a score of 410. He took the Scholastic Reading Inventory in the spring and at that time he scored a Lexile of 910 which placed his reading level at ninth-grade. However, writing continues to be a struggle for John. His most recent writing SOL assessment score was a 375. He is very comfortable talking about specific subjects, and does fairly well when a scribe is present. When left to write on his own, he has great difficulties getting his thoughts down on paper. His sentences tend to be simple and lack descriptions. Graphic organizers have been found to be helpful and an introduction to assistive technology, such as speech to text software should be considered.

John's weak self-monitoring skills impacts his ability to maintain focus, organize materials and learn new concepts. Informal data collected by John's teachers indicated that he is redirected an average of five times in a 45 minute period. Noises or movements in the classroom can make it very difficult for him to concentrate on the speaker. According to his teachers, redirecting is rarely required in this smaller setting. Both parents and teachers have reported concerns regarding John's difficulties with writing homework down his planner, gathering his materials at the end of the day, and completing homework assignments accurately. It has been very effective to have an adult check his planner to ensure the assignments are written down accurately and clearly.

Transition IEP: Postsecondary Goals (General Curriculum)

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY EMPLOYMENT GOAL: (e.g., integrated competitive employment)

• After high school, John will work full-time in the field of information technology.

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION GOAL(S): (e.g., higher education, and continuing/adult education)

• Upon completion of high school, John will complete a course of study in the area of information technology at the community college.

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY TRAINING GOAL(S): (e.g., career and technical education, military service, on-the-job training, apprenticeship)

 Post high school, John will complete an internship in the area of information technology (on the job training) in order to complete his course of study, earn his IT certification, and improve employment options.

MEASURABLE INDEPENDENT LIVING/COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION GOAL(S): Considered, but not appropriate at this time (e.g., adult services, independent living or community participation).

 Post high school, John will use public transportation independently to travel around his community.

Postsecondary Planning Sheet

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY GOALS and TRANSITION SERVICES: (To be developed no later than the IEP to be in effect at age 14, or earlier, if appropriate)
DOCUMENTATION OF TRANSITION ASSESSMENTS: Are the postsecondary goals based upon age-appropriate formal and informal transition assessments?NoYes
If yes, identify these assessments and the results in the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance or indicate which age appropriate transition assessments were conducted for the development of measurable postsecondary goals and transition activities, as well as the date they were conducted. Best practice would suggest the
person who administered the assessment be identified:

Formal and informal Assessments (list name of assessment and date administered):

Completed the Who Are You Interest Assessment on 3/10/16 Completed the VA Wizard Skills, Interest and Values Assessments by 4/3/16

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY EMPLOYMENT GOAL:

After high school, John will work full time in the field of information technology.

Describe how the student's courses of study support attainment of this postsecondary goal: John's courses of study will enable her to obtain a standard high school diploma.

Transition Activities/Serviadult services)	ices (including activities that link the student to	Responsible Individual/ Describe Responsibilities	Date to be Completed
Instruction	Considered, but not appropriate at this time	John / case manager and	End of the first semester
John will keep a log of Int	ernet searches identifying businesses and job	school counselor.	
opportunities in the area			
log with the case manage	er and school counselor twice during the school		
year.			
Related Services	Considered, but not appropriate at this time		

during the year, and will kee manager. Employment Functional Vocational Evalua Discuss the value of a PERT assessment	Considered, but not appropriate nool computer lab for a total of p a journal of activities to sha Considered, but not appropriate a ation Considered, but not appropriate and make a referral with the consent of	of eight hou are with the at this time		John /parent/case manager.	By the end of the school year
Daily Living Skills	Considered, but not appropriate at	this time			
Adult Living	Considered, but not appropriate at	this time			
OTHER	_				
Transition IEP: Academic G Student Name:John Jone # 1 MEASURABLE ANNUAL terms, combine the coefficient problems by the end the thi	es_ Date <u>6/11/15</u> Page GOAL: When given two po ents, and find the sum of th	olynomials,	the s	tudent will deter	mine like
•	benchmarks are included for participating in the VAAP)	or this goal		arks.	
How will progress toward this at Classroom Participation Worksheet X Classwork Homework	nnual goal be measured? (chec Observation Special Projects X Tests and Quizzes Written Reports	Crite	erion-re m-refer	eferenced test: enced test: dent-made graph or	

Functional Goal- Transition IEF	General Curriculum:	
Student Name: _John Jones_ D	ate <u>6/11/15</u> Page	of Student Number_ <u>999999</u>
	•	school year, using a shared calendar, John Ther prompting in 4 of 5 days/week.
The IEP team considered the n	eed for short-term ob	jectives/benchmarks.
☐ Short-term objectives/bence	hmarks are included for	or this goal.
(Required for students parti	cipating in the VAAP)	
Short-term objectives/bence Short-term objectives		ed for this goal
Short term objectives, bene	minarks are not include	ta for this goal.
How will progress toward this annua	Il goal he measured? (che	ck all that annly)
	Observation	Criterion-referenced test:
Worksheet	Special Projects	
	X_ Tests and Quizzes	
Homework	Written Reports	2. State State Hade State of Share
	ten neports	

Appendix D: Sample Transition IEP: Aligned Standards

Student Name	Mary	Date	5/	29	/15	Page	2	of	12	Student ID Number	2341252	

The Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance summarize the results of assessments that identify the student's interests, preferences, strengths and areas of need. It also describes the effect of the student's disability on his or her involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, and for preschool children, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities. This includes the student's performance and achievement in academic areas such as writing, reading, math, science, and history/social sciences. It also includes the student's performance in functional areas, such as self-determination, social competence, communication, behavior and personal management. Test scores, if included, should be self-explanatory or an explanation should be included, and the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance should be written in objective measurable terms, to the extent possible. There should be a direct relationship among the desired goals, the Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance, and all other components of the IEP.

Mary is a rising tenth-grade student at Great Pals High School. Mary has a significant cognitive disability that impacts her performance in the general curriculum. She currently receives instruction in the areas of literacy, mathematics and independent living skills within a special education setting as well as in her community based instruction (CBI) activities. She attends science, history and career and technical education elective classes within a general education setting using a modified curriculum and adult support.

According to observations and classroom performance, Mary's reading level is very low at an approximate third-grade level. She uses pictures coupled with words or phrases to communicate her wants and needs with others. In the area of math, she is able to do simple addition and subtraction problems with the use of manipulatives. She can also recognize coins and bills and is currently working on making change from a purchase of five dollars or less. Mary loves participating in her CBI activities. She loves people and goes to a local nursing home and helps with the game and arts programs there with adult support. She helps to stock shelves at a local grocery story, and will have the opportunity to assist in a local restaurant in the fall with adult support. In all her community based activities, reading, math and independent living skills are reinforced in a hand on experience.

According to responses Mary and her parents have given during interviews and surveys conducted in February 2016, Mary would like a job where she is working with people like in a retirement home or perhaps a hospital setting. She would like to eventually live on her own in a group home or in her own home with assistance.

Postsecondary Goals (Aligned Standards)

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY EMPLOYMENT GOAL: (e.g., integrated competitive employment)

• After completing high school, Mary will work part-time in a retirement community with the assistance of a job coach.

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION GOAL(S): (e.g., higher education, and continuing/adult education)

• Mary will complete a literacy class through Adult Education, post high school, in order to improve her reading comprehension.

MEASURABLE POSTSECONDARY TRAINING GOAL(S): (e.g., career and technical education, military service, on-the-job training, apprenticeship)

• After leaving high school, Mary will complete CPR training in order to improve employability in a retirement community.

MEASURABLE INDEPENDENT LIVING/COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION GOAL(S): Considered, but not appropriate at this time (e.g., adult services, independent living or community participation).

 After completing high school, Mary will plan, grocery shop, and independently prepare meals for herself.

Transition IEP - Academic Goals (aligned content standards)

Reading Aligned Content Standard: HSE-RW 2 The student will

- (SOL 10.3) a) consult reference materials (dictionaries, online vocabulary supports) to clarify of unfamiliar words encountered when reading;
 - b) demonstrate understanding of multiple-meaning words and figurative language;
 - c) acquire and use content words and phrases.

Student Name: Mary Date 5/29/15 Page of Student Number 999999

- **# 1 MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL:** By June 2016, using vocabulary cards and modified reference materials, Mary will understand multiple meaning of words and figurative language in the context of her every day routine with 85 % accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.
 - **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE #1:** By the end of the first marking period, using vocabulary cards and modified reference materials, Mary will learn and use the ten most commonly used multiple meaning words and/or phrases in the context of her every day routine with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4 collected work samples.

• **MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE: #2:** By the end of the second marking period, continuing to use vocabulary cards and modified reference materials, Mary will identify and understand five common idioms within the context of her classroom and out in her CBI activities with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4

The IEP team considered the need for short-term objectives/benchmarks.						
■ Short-term objectives/benchmarks are included for this goal.						
(Required for students participating in the VAAP)						
☐ Short-term objectives/benchmarks are not included for this goal.						
How will progress toward this annual goal be measured? (check all that apply)						
Classroom Participation X_Observation Criterion-referenced test:						
X_WorksheetX_Special ProjectsNorm-referenced test:						
X Classwork Tests and Quizzes Other:						
Homework Written Reports						
Mathematics Aligned Content Standard: HSM-EI 1 The student will						
(SOL A. 1) a) solve an algebraic equation using subtraction.						
Student NameMary Date5/29/15 Pageof Student Number _999999						
# 1 MEASURABLE ANNUAL GOAL: By May 2016, using manipulatives, pictures, and real life						
experiences, Mary will be able to solve algebraic expressions, with 80 % accuracy on 3 out of 4						
collected work samples.						
conceted work samples.						
MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE #1: By December 2015, manipulatives and real-life stories,						
Mary will match an algebraic expression to the real-life story with 85 % accuracy in 3 out						
of 5 attempts.						
MEASURABLE OBJECTIVE #2: By March 2016, using money and real-life experiences						
through her CBI activities, Mary will complete an algebraic expression using addition and						
subtraction to when making purchases under five dollars with 85 % accuracy in 3 out of 5						
observed opportunities.						
The IEP team considered the need for short-term objectives/benchmarks.						
Short-term objectives/benchmarks are included for this goal.						
(Required for students participating in the VAAP)						
☐ Short-term objectives/benchmarks are not included for this goal.						
Short-term objectives/benchmarks are not included for this goal.						

How will progress toward this annual goal be measured? (check all that apply)								
Classroom Participation	Observation	Criterion-referenced test:						
Worksheet	X Special Projects	Norm-referenced test:						
X Classwork	Tests and Quizzes	X Other: Student-made graph or chart						
Homework	Written Reports							

Appendix E: Prior Written Notice

Description of the action proposed or refused by the school:

The IEP team reviewed the student's progress and current program in special education. A new IEP was developed with an update of present levels of performance and appropriate goals. The IEP made a decision to increase the level of service by adding an additional 30 minutes of resource each day.

Explanation of why the school proposed or refused to take that action:

Although the student made progress toward his/her IEP goals, the daily, extra service will help him/her to make continuous progress.

Description of any other options that the individualized education program (IEP) team considered and the reasons why those choices were rejected:

The team considered maintaining current level of special education service but current achievement data clearly indicates a need for increasing the previous IEP's level of support and service time.

Description of other factors important to the school proposed or refused the action:

The division did not have an additional proposal or refusal.

Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record, or report the school district used in deciding to propose or refuse the action:

The review of progress, goal achievement, and success in the general classroom indicated that the still requires additional services for reading. Increasing the resource time by 30 minutes each day will allow the student to participate in specially designed instruction in a small group setting.

A statement that the parent of a child have protection under the procedural safeguards. If this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, how the parent can obtain a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards:

Please see your child's Case Manager/Special Education Teacher to obtain a copy.

Resources for the parents to contact for help in understanding of the content of the notice:

Parent resource center at central office.



© 2016 Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Education

The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, political affiliation, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities. The policy permits appropriate employment preferences for veterans and specifically prohibits discrimination against veterans.